

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,422	11/12/2003	Hal C. Danby	DB-5770DIV4 (BXTW 11001.6	6801	
7:	590 03/25/2004		EXAM	INER	
Francis C. Kowalik			WEEKS, G	WEEKS, GLORIA R	
Baxter Healthca	are Corporation				
One Baxter Parkway, 32E			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Deerfield, IL 60015-4633			3721		
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/706,422	DANBY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gloria R Weeks	3721			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE N - Extens after S - If the I - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Descrid for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 November 2003</u> .					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
-	,—					
1	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	on of Claims					
5)□ = 6)⊠ = 7)□ =	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. Ia) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application	on Papers					
ד [[10] / ! -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wheeler (USPN 5,803,317,) in view of Jackson et al. (USPN 5,230,566).

In reference to claims 1-7, Wheeler discloses a method of dispensing a fluent material, comprising the steps of: selectively dispensing fluent material through an open end of a nipple (30) of a first flexible bag (12) positioned for dispensing fluent material by deforming (figures 8 and 9) the nipple (30) to eject fluent material therefrom; sealing the open ends of the nipples (30) of the first flexible bag (12; column 5, lines 58-61); positioning a second flexible bag having nipples for dispensing fluent material from the nipples to the articles (column 2, line67-column 3, line7); selectively dispensing fluent material through an open end of the nipple (30) of a second flexible bag by deforming the nipple (30) to eject fluent material therefrom (column 5, lines 62-65). Although Wheeler does not disclose filling the flexible bag in an aseptic environment and sterilizing the flexible bag and fluent material after said filling step, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to do so since Examiner takes Official Notice that the use of aseptic environments and sterilization procedures is well known in the art of packaging food products. Furthermore, Wheeler discloses that an objective of filling the food products in the flexible bags is to ensure a sanitary condition of the food product and the dispensing apparatus (column 2, lines 60-65).

Art Unit: 3721

Wheeler discloses sealing the end of the nipple (30), but does not disclose the ends being sealed by removable plugs, nor does Wheeler disclose the use of multiple nipples. Jackson et al. teaches a method of dispensing fluent material from a flexible bag (12) through multiple nipples (39) and sealing the nipples (39) with removable plugs (43). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the flexible bag used by Wheeler to include the multiple nipples et al. for the purpose of dispensing the fluent material at a greater rate (Jackson et al.-column 5, lines 7-10 and 18-22), and to use the removable plugs of Jackson for the purpose of resealing the nipples.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Refer to attachment for notice of references cited and recommended for consideration based on their disclosure of limitations of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gloria R Weeks whose telephone number is (703) 605-4211. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 6:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I Rada can be reached on (703) 305-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gloria R Weeks Examiner

Art Unit 3721

grw 1 (

Rinaldi I. Rada

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700